

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,235	03/08/2000	KISHORE S SWAMINATHAN	ANDIP535	1907
28164	7590 04/22/2004		EXAMINER	
ACCENTURE CHICAGO 28164			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P O BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CHICAGO, IL 60610		2176	
•			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/521,235	SWAMINATHAN ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see the attachment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:						
	// 6	SEEPH FEILD DRY PATENT EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/521,235

Art Unit: 2176

Applicant argues that *Kitain is silent as to whether or not the industries may be associated with a specific company.* (Remarks, page 7, second paragragph)

In response, claims 1, 7, and 13 do not clarify how "industries associated with the client'. The Examiner believes that Kitain's teachings "each user has authorization to access a subset of the information stored at the repository server ...reports can be access only by its employees and certain investors; col.16, line 64-col.17, line 6 / a list of companies and place the list in a form from which the user can choose a company; col.21, lines 36-78) reads-on "industries associated with the client" as broadly claimed by Applicant. According to Kitain's teaching, company must be associated with the user, so the user can choose the company to perform a search for accessing their reports.

As to dependent claims 2-6, 8-12 and 14-24, the arguments are not persuasive for reason as discussed above with regards to independent claims 1, 7, and 13.